

**1. Suggested Arrangement of the Specification**

Regarding the guidelines for the specification presented at page 2 of the Office Action, please note that the Preliminary Amendment filed upon national stage entry of the present application included amendments to the specification at page 2, and these amendments added the suggested section headings to the application. It appears that the USPTO entered the amendments to the claims, while neglecting the amendments to the specification. Kindly enter the amendments to the specification.

**2. Summary of Restriction Requirement**

The Office Action contends that the inventions of the following species are not so linked as to form a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1:

*Species I:* Figures 1-4.

*Species II:* Figure 5.

**3. Provisional Election of Species (37 CFR §1.146)**

Species I, *on which all of claims 1-20 read*, is provisionally elected for further examination.

The Office Action states that claims 1-14 are generic.

The matter of claims 15-20 is then seen in Figures 1-4 of Species I and in their related discussion, e.g., at pars. [0048]-[0049] and [0053] of corresponding US Publ'n. 2007/0100232.